# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# FIELDS OF CHARACTER VALUES FOR FINITE SPECIAL UNITARY GROUPS

A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY AND C. RYAN VINROOT

Volume 300 No. 2

June 2019

# FIELDS OF CHARACTER VALUES FOR FINITE SPECIAL UNITARY GROUPS

A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY AND C. RYAN VINROOT

Turull has described the fields of values for characters of  $SL_n(q)$  in terms of the parametrization of the characters of  $GL_n(q)$ . In this article, we extend these results to the case of  $SU_n(q)$ .

#### 1. Introduction

It is a problem of general interest to understand the fields of values of the complex characters of finite groups, as these fields often reflect important or subtle properties of the group itself. Turull [2001, Section 4] computed the fields of character values of the finite special linear groups  $SL_n(q)$  by using properties of Zelevinsky's degenerate Gelfand–Graev characters of  $GL_n(q)$ . In this paper, we extend these methods to compute the fields of character values for the finite special unitary groups  $SU_n(q)$ . In particular, we use properties of Kawanaka's generalized Gelfand–Graev characters of  $SU_n(q)$  and the full unitary group  $GU_n(q)$  to get this information. Further, we frame these methods so that we obtain many results for both  $SL_n(q)$  and  $SU_n(q)$  simultaneously.

Turull also computes the Schur indices of the characters of  $SL_n(q)$ . This appears to be a much more difficult problem for  $SU_n(q)$ . For example, it is helpful in the  $SL_n(q)$  case that the Schur index for every character of  $GL_n(q)$  is 1. However, the Schur indices of the characters of  $GU_n(q)$  are not all explicitly known, but are known to take values other than 1.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the necessary results from character theory that are needed for the main arguments. In Sections 2A and 2B, we give some tools from Deligne–Lusztig theory and the parametrization of the characters of  $GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , respectively, and we use these to describe the characters of  $SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  in Section 2C. We introduce generalized Gelfand–Graev characters in Section 2D. In Section 3, we obtain some preliminary results on fields of character values which follow quickly from the material in Section 2. To deal with the harder cases, we need some explicit information on unipotent elements in unitary

MSC2010: 20C15, 20C33.

*Keywords:* finite special unitary groups, fields of character values, generalized Gelfand–Graev characters.

groups obtained in Section 4, and we apply this information to generalized Gelfand–Graev characters in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we prove our main results in Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.3, which give explicitly the field of values of any character of  $SU_n(q)$  and a description of the real-valued characters of  $SU_n(q)$ . In particular, these results can be phrased in the same way as the corresponding results for  $SL_n(q)$  found in [Turull 2001, Section 4], so that we may state both our results and Turull's simultaneously.

*Notation.* We will often use the notation found in [Turull 2001], for clarity of analogous statements. For example, the natural action of a Galois automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on a character  $\chi$  of a group will be denoted  $\sigma \chi$ . Here for a group element *g*, the value of  $\sigma \chi$  is given by  $\sigma \chi(g) = \sigma(\chi(g))$ . We write  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  for the field obtained from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by adjoining all values of the character  $\chi$ .

For an integer *n*, we will write  $n = n_2 n_{2'}$  where  $n_2$  is a 2-power and  $n_{2'}$  is odd. Further, for an element *x* of a finite group *Y*, we write  $x = x_2 x_{2'}$  where  $x_2$  has 2-power order and  $x_{2'}$  has odd order. We denote by |x| the order of the element *x* (we also use this notation for cardinality and size of partitions, which will be clear from context). We write Irr(Y) for the set of all irreducible complex characters of the finite group *Y*. Given two elements *g*, *x* in *Y*, we write  $g^x = x^{-1}gx$ , and for  $\chi \in Irr(Y)$ , we define  $\chi^x$  by  $\chi^x(g) = \chi(xgx^{-1})$ .

For a subgroup  $X \leq Y$ , we write  $\operatorname{Ind}_X^Y(\varphi)$  for the character of *Y* induced from a character  $\varphi$  of *X*, and we write  $\operatorname{Res}_X^Y(\chi)$  for the character of *X* restricted from a character  $\chi$  of *Y*. We will further use  $\operatorname{Irr}(Y|\varphi)$  and  $\operatorname{Irr}(X|\chi)$  to denote the set of irreducible constituents of  $\operatorname{Ind}_X^Y(\varphi)$  and  $\operatorname{Res}_X^Y(\chi)$ , respectively.

Throughout the article, let q be a power of a prime p and let  $G = SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , where  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Here when  $\epsilon = 1$ , we mean  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n(q)$  and  $G = SL_n(q)$ , and when  $\epsilon = -1$ , we mean  $\widetilde{G} = GU_n(q)$  and  $G = SU_n(q)$ . We also write  $G = SL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  for the corresponding algebraic groups, so that  $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}^{F_{\epsilon}}$  and  $G = G^{F_{\epsilon}}$  for an appropriate Frobenius morphism  $F_{\epsilon} : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$ .

#### 2. Characters

**2A.** *Lusztig induction.* For this section, we let H be any connected reductive group over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  with Frobenius map F, and write  $H = H^F$ . For any F-stable Levi subgroup L of H, contained in a parabolic subgroup P, we write  $L = L^F$  and denote by  $R_L^H = R_{L \subset P}^H$  the Lusztig (or twisted) induction functor. When P may be chosen to be an F-stable parabolic, then  $R_L^H$  becomes Harish-Chandra induction. When L = T is chosen to be a maximal torus and  $\theta$  is a character of  $T = T^F$ , then  $R_T^H(\theta)$  is the corresponding Deligne–Lusztig (virtual) character. We need the following basic result regarding actions on characters of finite reductive groups obtained through twisted induction.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let H and  $H = H^F$  be as above. Let L be an F-stable Levi subgroup of H, and write  $L = L^F$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of L,  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\alpha$  a linear character of H which is trivial on unipotent elements. Then

 $\sigma R_L^H(\chi) = R_L^H(\sigma \chi) \quad and \quad \alpha R_L^H(\chi) = R_L^H(\alpha \chi).$ 

In particular, when L = T is a maximal torus and  $\chi = \theta$  is a character of  $T = T^F$ , then we have

$$\sigma R_T^H(\theta) = R_T^H(\sigma \theta) \quad and \quad \alpha R_T^H(\theta) = R_T^H(\alpha \theta).$$

*Proof.* From [Digne and Michel 1991, Proposition 11.2], for any  $g \in H$  we have

$$R_L^H(\chi)(g) = \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{l \in L} \operatorname{Tr}((g, l^{-1})|X)\chi(l),$$

where  $\text{Tr}((g, l^{-1})|X)$  is the Lefschetz number corresponding to the  $H \times L$ -action on the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology X of the relevant Deligne–Lusztig variety. In particular, these numbers are rational integers (by [Digne and Michel 1991, Corollary 10.6], for example). Thus,

$$\sigma R_L^H(\chi)(g) = \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{l \in L} \operatorname{Tr}((g, l^{-1})|X) \sigma \chi(l) = R_L^H(\sigma \chi)(g).$$

The statement about  $\alpha$  is [Digne and Michel 1991, Proposition 12.6(i)].

**2B.** *Parametrization of characters of*  $\operatorname{GL}_{n}^{\epsilon}(q)$ . We identify  $\operatorname{GL}_{1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q})$  with  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{\times}$ , and so  $F_{\epsilon}$  acts on  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{\times}$  via  $F_{\epsilon}(a) = a^{\epsilon q}$ . For any integer  $k \geq 1$ , we define  $T_{k}$  to be the multiplicative subgroup of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{\times}$  fixed by  $F_{\epsilon}^{k}$ , that is,

$$T_k = (\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times})^{F_{\epsilon}^k}.$$

We denote by  $\widehat{T}_k$  the multiplicative group of complex-valued linear characters of  $T_k$ . Whenever  $d \mid k$ , we have the natural norm map  $\operatorname{Nm}_{k,d} = \operatorname{Nm}$  from  $T_k$  to  $T_d$ , and the transpose map  $\widehat{\operatorname{Nm}}$  gives a norm map from  $\widehat{T}_d$  to  $\widehat{T}_k$ , where  $\widehat{\operatorname{Nm}}(\xi) = \xi \circ \operatorname{Nm}$ . We consider the direct limit of the character groups  $\widehat{T}_k$  with respect to these norm maps,  $\lim_k \widehat{T}_k$ , on which  $F_{\epsilon}$  acts through its natural action on the groups  $T_k$ . Moreover, the fixed points of  $\lim_k \widehat{T}_k$  under  $F_{\epsilon}^d$  can be identified with  $\widehat{T}_d$ . We let  $\Theta$  denote the set of  $F_{\epsilon}$ -orbits of  $\lim_k \widehat{T}_k$ . The elements of  $\Theta$  are sometimes called *simplices* (in [Green 1955; Turull 2001], for example). They are naturally dual objects to polynomials with roots given by an  $F_{\epsilon}$ -orbit of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\alpha}^{\times}$ .

For any orbit  $\phi \in \Theta$ , let  $|\phi|$  denote the size of the orbit. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of all partitions of nonnegative integers, where we write  $|\nu| = n$  if  $\nu$  is a partition of n, and let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the set of all partitions of n. The irreducible characters of  $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{GL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  are parametrized by partition-valued functions on  $\Theta$ . Specifically,

given a function  $\lambda : \Theta \to \mathcal{P}$ , define  $|\lambda|$  by

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{\phi \in \Theta} |\phi| \, |\lambda(\phi)|,$$

and define  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{\lambda : \Theta \to \mathcal{P} \mid |\lambda| = n\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}_n$  gives a parametrization of the irreducible complex characters of  $\widetilde{G}$ . Given  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , we let  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  denote the irreducible character corresponding to it.

We need several details regarding the structure of the character  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$ . In the case  $\epsilon = 1$ , these facts all follow from the original work of Green [1955], and also appear from a slightly different point of view in [Macdonald 1995, Chapter IV]. For the case  $\epsilon = -1$ , the facts we need appear in [Thiem and Vinroot 2007], which contains relevant results from [Digne and Michel 1987; Lusztig and Srinivasan 1977].

First consider some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$  such that  $\lambda(\phi)$  is a nonempty partition for exactly one  $\phi \in \Theta$ , and write  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \tilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi}$ . Suppose that  $|\phi| = d$ , so that  $|\lambda(\phi)| = n/d$ . Then let  $\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}$  be the irreducible character of the symmetric group  $S_{n/d}$  parametrized by  $\lambda(\phi) \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}$ . We fix this parametrization so that the partition (1, 1, ..., 1)corresponds to the trivial character. For any  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}$ , let  $\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)$  denote the character  $\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}$  evaluated at the conjugacy class parametrized by  $\gamma$  (where (1, 1, ..., 1) corresponds to the identity), and let  $z_{\gamma}$  the size of the centralizer in  $S_{n/d}$  of the class corresponding to  $\gamma$ . Let  $T_{\gamma}$  be the torus

$$T_{\gamma} = T_{d\gamma_1} \times T_{d\gamma_2} \times \cdots \times T_{d\gamma_\ell},$$

and let  $\theta \in \phi$ . Then we have

(1) 
$$\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi} = \pm \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}} \frac{\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)}{z_{\gamma}} R_{T_{\gamma}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\theta),$$

where the sign can be determined explicitly (see the remark after [Thiem and Vinroot 2007, Theorem 4.3], for example), but the sign will not have any impact for us. Note that from (1), it follows from our parametrization of characters of the symmetric group and [Digne and Michel 1991, Proposition 12.13] that the trivial character of  $\tilde{G}$  corresponds to  $\lambda(\mathbf{1}) = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ .

For an arbitrary  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_r$  be precisely those elements in  $\Theta$  such that  $\lambda(\phi_i)$  is a nonempty partition, and let  $d_i = |\phi_i|$ . Let  $n_i = d_i |\lambda(\phi_i)|$ , and define L to be the Levi subgroup  $L = \operatorname{GL}_{n_1}^{\epsilon}(q) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}_{n_r}^{\epsilon}(q)$ . The character  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  is then given by

(2) 
$$\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \pm R_L^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_1} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_r}).$$

The sign only appears in the  $\epsilon = -1$  case, and again can be determined explicitly. Note that (2) is Harish-Chandra induction in the case  $\epsilon = 1$ . **Remark 2.2.** We may also describe the character  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  in terms of Lusztig series and the Jordan decomposition of characters (see [Digne and Michel 1991, Chapter 13]) as follows. Each  $\phi_i \in \Theta$  above corresponds to a polynomial with roots given by an  $F_{\epsilon}$ -orbit of  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$ , as already mentioned. In this way, the collection

$$\{\phi_i \mid i=1,\ldots,r\}$$

corresponds to a semisimple class (s) of  $\widetilde{G}$  (we may identify  $\widetilde{G}$  with its dual group in this case), and  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  is an element of the Lusztig series  $\mathcal{E}(\widetilde{G}, s)$ . Then the centralizer in  $\widetilde{G}$  of any element of (s) is of the form

$$C_{\widetilde{G}}(s) \cong \operatorname{GL}_{n_1/d_1}^{\epsilon_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}_{n_r/d_r}^{\epsilon_r}(q^{d_r}),$$

where  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon^{d_i}$ . The partition  $\lambda(\phi_i)$  corresponds to a unipotent character  $\psi_{\lambda(\phi_i)}$ of  $\operatorname{GL}_{n_i/d_i}^{\epsilon_i}(q^{d_i})$ . The character  $\psi_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \psi_{\lambda(\phi_i)}$  is then a unipotent character of  $C_{\widetilde{G}}(s)$ , and the Jordan decomposition of  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  is given by the  $\widetilde{G}$ -conjugacy class of pairs  $(s, \psi_{\lambda})$ .

**2C.** *Restriction to*  $SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  *and actions on the parametrization.* We now turn to the parametrization of the characters of  $G = SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  in terms of the characters of  $\tilde{G}$  described in the previous section. This is done for the case  $\epsilon = 1$  in [Karkar and Green 1975; Lehrer 1973], and we adapt the methods there to handle the more general case of  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ .

Consider any Galois automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Through the natural action of  $\sigma$  on the character values of any  $\theta \in \widehat{T}_d$ , we have an action of  $\sigma$  on the orbits  $\phi \in \Theta$ . Given any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , we define  $\sigma \lambda$  by

$$\sigma\lambda(\phi) = \lambda(\sigma^{-1}\phi).$$

For  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$ , define  $\alpha \widetilde{\chi}$  and  $\alpha \theta$  by the usual product of characters in  $\operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G})$  and  $\widehat{T}_d$ , where we compose  $\alpha$  with determinant and the norm maps, respectively. Then  $\alpha$  acts on the orbits  $\phi \in \Theta$  as well, and we get an action of  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by defining  $\alpha \lambda$  as

$$\alpha\lambda(\phi) = \lambda(\alpha^{-1}\phi).$$

We will need the following statements regarding these actions on the characters of  $\tilde{G}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . For any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  and any  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$ , we have  $\sigma \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\sigma\lambda}$  and  $\alpha \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\alpha\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* We proceed in a manner similar to the proof of [Karkar and Green 1975, Proposition of Section 3], which proves this statement in the  $\epsilon = 1$  case with the  $\widehat{T}_1$  action. We begin by considering  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$  such that  $\lambda(\phi)$  is a nonempty partition for precisely one  $\phi \in \Theta$ , and so  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi}$  is given by (1). Given  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ , since

each  $\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)$  and each  $z_{\gamma}$  is a rational integer, we have

$$\sigma \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi} = \pm \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}} \frac{\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)}{z_{\gamma}} \sigma R_{T_{\gamma}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\theta) = \pm \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}} \frac{\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)}{z_{\gamma}} R_{T_{\gamma}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma\theta),$$

by Lemma 2.1. Since  $\sigma \theta \in \sigma \phi$  and  $\sigma \lambda(\sigma \phi) = \lambda(\phi)$ , then we have  $\sigma \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\sigma\lambda,\sigma\phi}$ . Similarly, if  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$ , we have by Lemma 2.1

$$\alpha \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi} = \pm \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}} \frac{\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)}{z_{\gamma}} \alpha R_{T_{\gamma}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\theta) = \pm \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{n/d}} \frac{\omega^{\lambda(\phi)}(\gamma)}{z_{\gamma}} R_{T_{\gamma}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\alpha\theta),$$

and since  $\alpha \theta \in \alpha \phi$ , we have  $\alpha \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\alpha\lambda,\alpha\phi}$ .

Now consider an arbitrary  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , with  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  given by (2). By applying Lemma 2.1, along with the first case just proved, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma \, \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} &= \pm R_{L}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma \left( \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_{r}} \right)) \\ &= \pm R_{L}^{\widetilde{G}}(\sigma \, \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_{1}} \times \cdots \times \sigma \, \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda,\phi_{r}}) \\ &= \pm R_{L}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\sigma\lambda,\sigma\phi_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\chi}_{\sigma\lambda,\sigma\phi_{r}}) \\ &= \widetilde{\chi}_{\sigma\lambda}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, if we replace  $\sigma$  with  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$ , we have  $\alpha \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} = \widetilde{\chi}_{\alpha\lambda}$  as claimed.

Note that we may identify  $\widetilde{G}/G$  with  $T_1$ , and directly from Clifford theory we know every character  $\chi$  of G appears in some multiplicity-free restriction of a character  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  of  $\widetilde{G}$ . The restrictions of two different irreducible characters of  $\widetilde{G}$  are either equal, or have no irreducible constituents of Irr(G) in common. With this, the next result is all that is needed to parametrize Irr(G).

 $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , with corresponding characters  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}, \widetilde{\chi}_{\mu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G})$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\mu})$$

if and only if there exists some  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$  such that  $\lambda = \alpha \mu$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from [Karkar and Green 1975, Theorem 1(i)] and Lemma 2.3.

Consider any irreducible character  $\chi$  of G, so  $\chi$  is a constituent of  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . That is,  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . The other constituents of this restriction are  $\widetilde{G}$ -conjugates of  $\chi$ . Note that the field of values of  $\chi$  is invariant under conjugation by  $\widetilde{G}$ , and so in studying this field of character values it is not important which constituent we choose. Since we will see below that  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  is closely related to the field of values of  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ , we give this a name.

**Definition 1.** We let  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} := \mathbb{Q}(\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}))$  denote the field of values of  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ .

Further, given  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , we define the group  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$  as

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \bigcap \{ \ker \alpha \mid \alpha \in \widehat{T}_1 \text{ such that } \alpha \lambda = \lambda \} \le T_1.$$

We collect some basic properties of  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$  in the following.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\chi \in Irr(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . Then:

- The stabilizer in  $\widetilde{G}$  of  $\chi$  is the set of elements with determinant in  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ .
- The stabilizer of  $\lambda$  in  $\widehat{T}_1$  is the set of elements whose kernel contains  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ .
- *The index*  $[T_1 : \mathcal{I}(\lambda)]$  *divides*  $gcd(q \epsilon, n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is exactly as in [Turull 2001, Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 and Corollary 4.4], using Clifford theory and Lemma 2.3.  $\Box$ 

**2D.** *Remarks on generalized Gelfand–Graev characters.* We recall here some subgroups described in [Geck 2004, Section 2] used in the construction of the characters of generalized Gelfand–Graev representations (GGGRs). We introduce only the essentials for our purposes, and refer the reader to [Geck 2004; Kawanaka 1985; Taylor 2016], for example, for more details.

First, let T and B be an  $F_{\epsilon}$ -stable maximal torus and Borel subgroup of G, respectively, where  $T \leq B = TU$ , with unipotent radical U. Let  $\Phi$  be the root system of G with respect to T and  $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$  the set of positive roots determined by B.

To each unipotent class C in G (or, equivalently, in  $\widetilde{G}$ ), there is associated a weighted Dynkin diagram  $d : \Phi \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $F_{\epsilon}$ -stable groups

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{d,i} := \langle X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, \ d(\alpha) \ge i \rangle \le \boldsymbol{U},$$

where  $X_{\alpha}$  denotes the root subgroup in **B** corresponding to  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $P_d := N_{\widetilde{G}}(U_{d,1})$  is an  $F_{\epsilon}$ -stable parabolic subgroup of  $\widetilde{G}$  and  $U_{d,i} \triangleleft P_d$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ . We will further write  $U_{d,i} := U_{d,i}^{F_{\epsilon}}$  and  $P_d := P_d^{F_{\epsilon}}$ .

Given  $u \in C \cap U_{d,2}$ , the characters of GGGRs (which we will also refer to as GGGRs) of  $\widetilde{G}$  (resp. G) are constructed by inducing certain linear characters  $\varphi_u : U_{d,2} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  to  $\widetilde{G}$  (resp. G). In particular, the values of  $\varphi_u$  are all p-th roots of unity. Strictly speaking, the GGGRs are actually rational multiples of the induced character:

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{u} = [U_{d,1} : U_{d,2}]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\varphi_{u}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{u} = [U_{d,1} : U_{d,2}]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^{G}(\varphi_{u}).$$

The following is [Schaeffer Fry and Taylor 2018, Proposition 10.11], which is a consequence of [Tiep and Zalesskii 2004, Theorem 1.8, Lemma 2.6, and Theorem 10.10].

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\Gamma_u$  be a GGGR of G. Then the following hold.

- If q is a square, or n is odd, or n/(n, q − ε) is even, then the values of Γ<sub>u</sub> are integers.
- (2) Otherwise, the values of  $\Gamma_u$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ , where  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  is such that  $p \equiv \eta \mod 4$ .

Note that case (1) of Proposition 2.6 includes the case that q is even.

#### 3. Initial results on fields of values

Keep the notation from above, so that  $G = SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ ,  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , and the characters of  $\widetilde{G}$  are denoted by  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  denote the field obtained from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by adjoining the values of the characters in the orbits  $\phi \in \Theta$  such that  $\lambda(\phi)$  is nonempty.

We define  $\text{Galg}(\lambda)$  and  $\text{Galr}(\lambda)$  as in [Turull 2001]. That is,  $\text{Galg}(\lambda)$  is the stabilizer of  $\lambda$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)/\mathbb{Q})$  and

$$\operatorname{Galr}(\lambda) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)/\mathbb{Q}) \mid \sigma \lambda = \alpha \lambda \text{ for some } \alpha \in \widehat{T}_1 \}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)^{\operatorname{Galg}(\lambda)}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)^{\operatorname{Galr}(\lambda)}$  (recall *Definition 1*). That is, the field of values for  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  and its restriction to *G* are the fixed fields of  $\operatorname{Galg}(\lambda)$  and  $\operatorname{Galr}(\lambda)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Given Lemma 2.3, the proof is exactly the same as that of [Turull 2001, Propositions 2.8 and 3.4].  $\Box$ 

Note that since the members of  $\phi \in \Theta$  are characters of  $T_d$  for some d, it follows that  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  is the field obtained from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by adjoining some primitive *m*-th root of unity  $\zeta_m$ , where gcd(m, p) = 1. Since  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) = \mathbb{Q}$  for any primitive *p*-th root of unity  $\zeta_p$ .

**Remark 3.2.** As in the proof of [Turull 2001, Proposition 6.2], the Galois automorphism  $\sigma_{-1}: \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  satisfying  $\sigma_{-1}(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^{-1}$  induces complex conjugation on  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . Hence  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ ) is real-valued if and only if  $\sigma_{-1} \in \operatorname{Galg}(\lambda)$  (resp.  $\sigma_{-1} \in \operatorname{Galr}(\lambda)$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\chi \in Irr(G|\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . Keep the notation above. Then:

(1) If q is square, or n is odd, or  $n/(n, q - \epsilon)$  is even, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$ .

(2) Otherwise,  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ , where  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  is such that  $p \equiv \eta \mod 4$ . In particular,  $\chi$  is real-valued if and only if  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$  is, except possibly when  $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$  and  $2 \leq n_{2} \leq (q - \epsilon)_{2}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$  and  $\widetilde{\chi} := \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$ . First, we remark that certainly  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ , by its definition, since  $\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi})$  is the sum of  $\widetilde{G}$ -conjugates of  $\chi$ .

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  be a GGGR of  $\widetilde{G}$  such that  $\langle \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\chi} \rangle_{\widetilde{G}} = 1$ , which exists by a well-known result of Kawanaka (see [Kawanaka 1985, 3.2.18] for large *p* or [Taylor 2016, 15.7]).

Further, there exists a GGGR,  $\Gamma$ , of *G* such that  $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \text{Ind}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\Gamma)$ . Then Frobenius reciprocity yields that there is a unique irreducible constituent  $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$  satisfying  $\langle \Gamma, \chi_0 \rangle_G = 1$ . Without loss, we may assume  $\chi$  is this  $\chi_0$ , as the field of values is invariant under  $\widetilde{G}$ -conjugation.

Write  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{F})$  in case (1), and let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})$  in case (2). Then by Proposition 2.6,  $\sigma \chi$  is also a constituent of  $\Gamma = \sigma \Gamma$  occurring with multiplicity 1. As  $\text{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi})$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , however, we have that  $\sigma \chi$  is also a constituent of the restriction  $\text{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi})$ . Hence we see that  $\sigma \chi = \chi$ , by uniqueness, and hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  in case (1) and  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{K}$  in case (2).

In our main results below when q is odd, characters of  $T_1$  of 2-power order will play an important role. In particular, we denote by sgn the unique member of  $\hat{T}_1$  of order 2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let q be odd and let  $\chi \in Irr(G|\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$  and write  $I := \operatorname{stab}_{\widetilde{G}}(\chi)$ . Then  $[\widetilde{G}: I]$  is even if and only if  $\operatorname{sgn} \lambda = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* Note that 2 divides  $[\tilde{G}: I]$  if and only if  $[I:G]_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-\epsilon)_2$ , if and only if  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$  is contained in the unique subgroup of  $\tilde{G}/G$  of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q-\epsilon)$ . But notice that this is exactly the kernel of sgn as an element of  $\hat{T}_1$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let q be odd and let  $\chi \in Irr(G | \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . If  $sgn\lambda \neq \lambda$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$  and recall that  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ . Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ , so that  $\mathbb{K}$  is a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the generator of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$  and write *I* for the stabilizer of  $\chi$  under  $\widetilde{G}$ . Then note that  $\tau^2$  necessarily fixes  $\chi$ , and by definition  $\tau$  fixes  $\text{Res}_{G}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi})$ , which by Clifford theory is the sum of the  $[\widetilde{G}: I]$  conjugates of  $\chi$  under the action of  $\widetilde{G}$ .

We prove the contrapositive. Suppose  $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ , so that  $\tau$  does not fix  $\chi$ . Then since the field of values is invariant under  $\tilde{G}$ -conjugation, it follows that the orbit of  $\chi$  under  $\tilde{G}$  can be partitioned into pairs conjugate to { $\chi, \tau \chi$ }. Hence the size of the orbit, [ $\tilde{G}$  : *I*], must be even, so sgn  $\lambda = \lambda$  by Lemma 3.4.

#### 4. Unipotent elements

To deal with the remaining cases (in particular, when  $q \equiv \eta \mod 4$  is nonsquare,  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $\epsilon = -1$ , and  $2 \le n_2 \le (q + 1)_2$ ), we will continue to employ the use of GGGRs. For this, we will need to analyze certain aspects of conjugacy of unipotent elements. Here, the observations in [Schaeffer Fry and Vinroot 2016] on this subject will be useful.

In particular, if a unipotent element of  $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{GL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  for  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  has  $m_k$  Jordan blocks of size k (that is,  $m_k$  elementary divisors of the form  $(t-1)^k$ ), then we may find a conjugate in  $\widetilde{G}$  of the form  $\bigoplus_k \widetilde{J}_k^{m_k}$ , where the sum is over only those k such

that  $m_k \neq 0$  and each  $\widetilde{J}_k \in \operatorname{GL}_k^{\epsilon}(q)$  is a Jordan block of size k. The following lemma will be useful throughout the section.

**Lemma 4.1** [Schaeffer Fry and Vinroot 2016, Lemma 3.2]. Let u be a unipotent element in  $\widetilde{G}$  with  $m_k$  Jordan blocks of size k for each  $1 \le k \le n$ . For each k such that  $m_k \ne 0$ , let  $\delta_k \in T_1$  be arbitrary. Then there exists some  $g \in C_{\widetilde{G}}(u)$  such that  $\det(g) = \prod_k \delta_k^k$ .

We now turn our attention to the case  $\epsilon = -1$  for the remainder of this section. Let  $\zeta_p$  be a primitive *p*-th root of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$ . In what follows, we let *b* be a fixed integer such that  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  is generated by the map  $\tau : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^b$ . Note that (b, p) = 1 and *b* has multiplicative order p - 1 modulo *p*. Further, note that  $\tau$  also induces the map  $\sqrt{\eta p} \mapsto -\sqrt{\eta p}$  generating  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\eta p})/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\overline{b}$  denote the image of *b* under a fixed isomorphism  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \to \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , so that  $\overline{b}$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ .

Note that by [Tiep and Zalesskii 2004, Theorem 1.9], every unipotent element u of  $GU_n(q)$  is conjugate to  $u^b$  in  $C_{\widetilde{G}}(s)$ , where s is a semisimple element in  $C_{\widetilde{G}}(u)$ . We are interested in making precise statements about such a conjugating element.

Let *u* be a regular unipotent element of  $GU_n(q)$ , identified as in [Schaeffer Fry and Vinroot 2016, Lemma 5.1]. Employing the same argument as is used there, we see that an element conjugating *u* to  $u^b$  must have diagonal

$$(\bar{b}^{n-1}\beta, \bar{b}^{n-2}\beta, \ldots, \bar{b}\beta, \beta),$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times}$  and  $\bar{b}^{n-1}\beta^{q+1} = 1$ . Note the determinant of such an element is  $\bar{b}^{\binom{n}{2}}\beta^n$  and that the condition that  $\bar{b}^{n-1}\beta^{q+1} = 1$  yields that  $\beta^{q+1}$  is a (p-1)-root of unity.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $q \equiv \eta \mod 4$  be nonsquare with  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  and let u be a regular unipotent element of  $GU_n(q)$ . Keep the notation above. Then:

- (a) If n is even, then  $\beta_2$  is a primitive  $(q^2-1)_2$ -root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times}$ .
- (b) There is an element x in  $GU_n(q)$  such that  $u^x = u^b$  and  $|\det(x)|$  is a 2-power.
- (c) If  $n \neq 0 \mod 4$ , there is an element x in  $\operatorname{GU}_n(q)$  such that  $u^x = u^b$  and  $|\det(x)| = (q+1)_2$ . Further:
  - (i) If  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , then  $|\det(x)|_2 = (q+1)_2$  for any  $x \in \operatorname{GU}_n(q)$  satisfying  $u^x = u^b$ .
  - (ii) If n is odd, there is also an element  $x' \in SU_n(q)$  such that  $u^{x'} = u^b$ .
- (d) If  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ , there is no element x in  $\operatorname{GU}_n(q)$  such that  $u^x = u^b$  and  $|\det(x)| = (q+1)_2$ .

*Proof.* For part (a) note that  $|\bar{b}^{n-1}|$  has the same 2-part as  $|\bar{b}|$  since *n* is even, so  $|\beta_2^{q+1}| = (p-1)_2 = (q-1)_2$  since *q* is nonsquare. Hence the multiplicative order of  $\beta_2$  is  $2(q-\eta)_2 = (q^2-1)_2$ .

To prove the rest, we begin by showing that if  $n \neq 0 \mod 4$ , then we may find an x in  $GU_n(q)$  such that  $u^x = u^b$  and  $|\det(x)|_2 = (q+1)_2$ , and that this is impossible for  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ . Let  $x \in GU_n(q)$  satisfy  $u^x = u^b$ , so that x is of the form discussed before the statement of the lemma.

If  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , then  $\beta_2$  is a primitive  $(q^2-1)_2$ -root of unity by (a), and hence  $\beta_2^n$ is a  $(q - \eta)_2$ -root of unity. Here  $\bar{b}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  has the same order as  $\bar{b}_2$ , since  $\binom{n}{2}$  is odd. If  $\eta = -1$ , then since  $|\bar{b}|_2 = 2$ , we see  $\bar{b}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}\beta_2^n = \pm\beta_2^n$ . Then any  $x \in \text{GU}_n(q)$  satisfying  $u^x = u^b$  must satisfy  $|\det(x)|_2 = (q+1)_2$  in this case. If  $\eta = 1$ , note that  $(q+1)_2 = 2$ , so we must just show that  $\det(x)$  has even order. Here  $|\bar{b}|_2 = (q-1)_2$ , and  $\bar{b}_2^{\binom{n}{2}} \cdot \beta^n$ has even order unless  $\bar{b}_2^{\binom{n}{2}} \cdot \beta_2^n = 1$ . However, the latter situation, combined with the fact that  $\bar{b}_2^{n-1}\beta_2^{q+1} = 1$ , yields that  $\beta_2^{-n} = (\bar{b}_2^{n-1})^{n/2} = (\beta_2^{-1-q})^{n/2}$ , and hence  $\beta_2^{n((q+1)/2-1)} = 1$ . But since  $n_2 = 2$ , this means that  $\beta_2^{q-1} = 1$ , contradicting that  $\beta_2$  has order  $(q^2 - 1)_2$ . Then it must be that  $\det(x)$  has even order, and we again see that  $|\det(x)|_2 = (q + 1)_2$  in this case.

Now assume *n* is odd and let  $\tilde{x}$  be an element in  $GU_n(q)$  satisfying  $u^{\tilde{x}} = u^b$ . Then certainly  $det(\tilde{x}) \in T_1$ , so we may use Lemma 4.1 to replace  $\tilde{x}$  with some  $x \in GU_n(q)$  satisfying  $det(x) = det(\tilde{x}) \cdot \delta^n$  for any  $\delta \in T_1$ . In particular, note that  $|\delta^n| = |\delta|$  for any  $(q+1)_2$ -root of unity  $\delta$ , since *n* is odd. Then we may choose  $\delta$  so that  $det(\tilde{x})_2\delta^n$  is a primitive  $(q+1)_2$ -root of unity, yielding  $|det(x)|_2 = (q+1)_2$ . Alternatively, we may choose  $\delta$  so that  $det(\tilde{x})_2\delta^n = 1$ , yielding  $|det(x)|_2 = 1$ .

Finally, let  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ . Then note that neither  $\bar{b}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}\beta_2^n$  nor  $\delta^n$  for any  $\delta \in T_1$  can be a primitive  $(q+1)_2$ -root of unity, so  $|\det(x)|_2 < (q+1)_2$ .

It remains to show that in all cases, x can be chosen such that  $|\det(x)|_{2'} = 1$ . Since  $\beta^{q+1}$  is a (p-1)-root of unity, we may decompose the determinant of x into  $\beta_2^n \cdot \beta_{(q+1)_{2'}}^n \cdot y$ , where y is a (p-1)-root of unity. However, we also know that the determinant is a (q+1)-root and an odd prime cannot divide both p-1 and q+1. Hence y must be a 2-power root of unity, and we may replace x with an element of determinant  $\beta_2^n \cdot y$ , using Lemma 4.1.

Although there is no x satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 4.2(c) if u is a regular unipotent element when 4 divides n, we can generalize to the following statement about more general unipotent elements when  $4 \nmid n$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $q \equiv \eta \mod 4$  be nonsquare with  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$ . If u is a unipotent element of  $GU_n(q)$  satisfying at least one of the following:

- (1) *u* has an odd number of elementary divisors of the form  $(t 1)^k$  with  $k \equiv 2 \mod 4$ ;
- (2) *u* has an elementary divisor of the form  $(t-1)^k$  with *k* odd,

then u is conjugate to  $u^b$  by an element x satisfying  $|\det(x)| = (q+1)_2$ .

In particular, if n is not divisible by 4, any unipotent element is conjugate to  $u^b$  by an element x satisfying  $|\det(x)| = (q + 1)_2$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, viewing u as  $\bigoplus_k \widetilde{J}_k^{m_k}$  as in [Schaeffer Fry and Vinroot 2016, Section 3.2], we may find elements  $x_k$  for each  $1 \le k \le n$  as in Lemma 4.2 conjugating each  $\widetilde{J}_k$  to  $\widetilde{J}_k^b$ . In case (1), we see that the product  $\bigoplus_k x_k^{m_k}$  will satisfy the statement, after possibly replacing  $x_k$  with  $x'_k$  as in Lemma 4.2(c)(ii) for any odd k, so  $|\det(x'_k)| = 1$ .

If (2) holds, but (1) does not hold,  $y = \bigoplus_{2|k} x_k^{m_k}$  will satisfy  $|\det(y)| = |\det(y)|_2 < (q+1)_2$ , since the product of an even number of primitive  $(q+1)_2$ -roots of unity will no longer be primitive. We may use Lemma 4.2 to obtain  $x_k$  for some k odd such that  $|\det(x_k)| = (q+1)_2$ , and replace the remaining  $x_k$  for odd k with an element  $x'_k$  satisfying  $|\det(x'_k)| = 1$ . The resulting  $\bigoplus_k x_k^{m_k}$  will satisfy the statement.

The last statement follows, since if *n* is odd, we must be in case (2), and if  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , we must be in case (1) or (2).

**Remark 4.4.** At least one of conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 4.3 must occur if  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , and condition (1) implies condition (2) if  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ . Further, when  $\eta = 1$ , the condition  $n_2 \le (q + 1)_2$  induced from Proposition 3.3 yields that  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ .

We now address the case that 4 divides n,  $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , and that neither of the conditions in Corollary 4.3 occur.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$  and let  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$  such that  $n_2 \leq (q+1)_2$ . Let u be a unipotent element of  $GU_n(q)$  with no elementary divisors  $(t-1)^k$  with k odd. Then u is conjugate to  $u^b$  by an element x satisfying  $|\det(x)| = (q^2 - 1)_2/n_2$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 4.3, let  $\tilde{x} = \bigoplus_k x_k^{m_k}$ , where for each k such that  $m_k \neq 0$ ,  $x_k$  is an element of  $\operatorname{GU}_k(q)$  conjugating  $\tilde{J}_k$  to  $\tilde{J}_k^b$  as in Lemma 4.2. Now, each  $x_k$  has determinant  $\pm (\beta_k)_2^k$ , where  $(\beta_k)_2$  is a primitive  $(q^2-1)_2$ -root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times}$ , by Lemma 4.2, since the y found there has multiplicative order  $(p-1)_2 = 2$ . Then taking  $\delta_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times}$  to be the primitive  $(q+1)_2$ -root of unity  $\delta_k = (\beta_k)_2^2$ , we may use Lemma 4.1 to replace  $x_k$  with an element whose determinant is  $\pm (\beta_k)_2^k \delta_k^{rk} = \pm (\beta_k)_2^{k(2r+1)}$  for any odd r, yielding that we may replace each  $x_k$  with an element whose determinant is  $\pm \beta_2^k$  for a fixed primitive  $(q^2-1)_2$ -root of unity  $\beta_2$ . Hence the resulting x satisfies  $\det(x) = \pm \beta_2^n$ , which has the stated order.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Application to GGGRs

Here we keep the notation of Section 2D and return to the more general case that  $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{GL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $G = \operatorname{SL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  for  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a fixed unipotent class of  $\widetilde{G}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $u \in C \cap U_{d,2}$  and suppose that x is an element normalizing  $U_{d,2}$  and conjugating u to  $u^b$ . Then  $\varphi_u^x = \varphi_u^b$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the construction of  $\varphi_u$  in [Taylor 2016, Section 5] or [Geck 2004, Section 2]. Indeed, for each g in  $U_{d,2}$ , we have  $\varphi_u^x(g) = \varphi_u(xgx^{-1}) = \varphi_{x^{-1}ux}(g) = \varphi_{u^x}(g) = \varphi_{u^b}(g) = \varphi_u(g)^b$ , where the second equality is noted in [Geck 2004, Remark 2.2].

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $u \in C \cap U_{d,2}$  and  $\epsilon = -1$ . Then the elements x found in Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 are members of  $P_d$ , and hence normalize  $U_{d,2}$ .

*Proof.* First, note that  $C_{\widetilde{G}}(u) \leq P_d$ . Indeed, this is noted in [Kawanaka 1986, Theorem 2.1.1] for simply connected groups, and here we have  $\widetilde{G} = GZ(\widetilde{G})$  with G simply connected. Further, u is conjugate to  $u^b$  in  $P_d$  by [Schaeffer Fry and Taylor 2018, Lemma 4.6]. So  $u^x = u^b = u^y$  for some  $y \in P_d$ , which yields that  $xy^{-1} \in C_{\widetilde{G}}(u)$ , and hence  $x \in \widetilde{G} \cap P_d$ . This shows that x is contained in  $P_d$ , which contains  $U_{d,2}$  as a normal subgroup.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $G = \operatorname{SL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{GL}_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , with  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\chi} := \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G})$ and let

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_u = [U_{1,d} : U_{2,d}]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\varphi_u)$$

be a generalized Gelfand–Graev character of  $\widetilde{G}$  such that  $\langle \widetilde{\Gamma}_u, \widetilde{\chi} \rangle_{\widetilde{G}} = 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{F}_{\lambda})$  and let  $x \in \widetilde{G}$  normalizing  $U_{d,2}$  such that  $\sigma \varphi_u = \varphi_u^x$ . Then for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$ , there is some conjugate  $\chi_0$  of  $\chi$  such that  $\sigma \chi_0 = \chi_0^x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_u$  be such that  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_u = \operatorname{Ind}_G^{\widetilde{G}} \Gamma_u$  and  $\Gamma_u = r \cdot \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^G(\varphi_u)$  where  $r = [U_{1,d} : U_{2,d}]^{-1/2}$ . Then by Clifford theory and Frobenius reciprocity, there is a unique conjugate,  $\chi_0$ , of  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$  and  $\langle \Gamma_u, \chi_0 \rangle_G = 1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Res}_G^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\chi})$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , we also see  $\sigma \chi_0$  is the unique member of  $\operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$  satisfying  $\langle \sigma \Gamma_u, \sigma \chi_0 \rangle_G = 1$ . But note that

$$\sigma \Gamma_u = r \cdot \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^G(\sigma \varphi_u) = r \cdot \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^G(\varphi_u^x) = \Gamma_u^x.$$

Then  $\langle \sigma \Gamma_u, \chi_0^x \rangle_G = \langle \Gamma_u^x, \chi_0^x \rangle_G = 1$ , forcing  $\chi_0^x = \sigma \chi_0$  by uniqueness, since  $\chi_0^x \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$ .

#### 6. Main results

Our first main result is an extension of [Turull 2001, Theorem 4.8] to the case of  $G = SU_n(q)$ , describing the field of values  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  for each  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ . Recall that we write  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$  for the field of values of the restriction to *G* of  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  (see Definition 1).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $G = SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , with  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and let  $\chi \in Irr(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$  unless all of the following hold:

- p is odd.
- q is not square.

- $2 \le n_2 \le (q \epsilon)_2$ .
- $\alpha \lambda = \lambda$  for any element  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$  of order  $n_2$ .

*In the latter case,*  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}(\sqrt{\eta p})$ *, where*  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  *and*  $p \equiv \eta \mod 4$ *.* 

**Remark 6.2.** In terms of the Jordan decomposition of characters described in Remark 2.2, the last condition in Theorem 6.1 may be translated as follows. Let  $\tilde{\chi}_{\lambda}$  have Jordan decomposition  $(s, \psi_{\lambda})$ , and for any  $\alpha \in \hat{T}_1$  of order  $n_2$  in the condition, let  $a \in T_1$  correspond to  $\alpha$  through some fixed isomorphism. Then the condition is equivalent to the pair  $(s, \psi_{\lambda})$  being  $\tilde{G}$ -conjugate to the pair  $(as, \psi_{\alpha\lambda})$ .

Taking into consideration Remark 3.2, Theorem 6.1 immediately yields the following extension of [Turull 2001, Proposition 6.2].

**Corollary 6.3.** Let  $G = SL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = GL_n^{\epsilon}(q)$ , with  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and let  $\chi \in Irr(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- *χ* is real-valued.
- There exists some  $\alpha' \in \widehat{T}_1$  such that  $\sigma_{-1}\lambda = \alpha'\lambda$ , and if p is odd, q is not a square,  $2 \le n_2 \le (q \epsilon)_2$ , and  $\alpha\lambda = \lambda$  for any element  $\alpha \in \widehat{T}_1$  of order  $n_2$ , then  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

The remainder of this section will be devoted to proving Theorem 6.1 when  $\epsilon = -1$ . (Recall that Theorem 6.1 in the case  $\epsilon = 1$  is [Turull 2001, Theorem 4.8].) We begin with an observation that holds in either case  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ , but in particular restricts the situation of Corollary 4.3.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $G = \operatorname{SL}_{n}^{\epsilon}(q)$  and  $\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{GL}_{n}^{\epsilon}(q)$ , with  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\chi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widetilde{G})$  and let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{u}$  be a GGGR of  $\widetilde{G}$  such that  $\langle \widetilde{\Gamma}_{u}, \widetilde{\chi} \rangle_{\widetilde{G}} = 1$ . Further, assume that u has an elementary divisor of the form  $(t-1)^{k}$  with k odd. Then  $[\widetilde{G} : I]$  is odd, where  $I = \operatorname{stab}_{\widetilde{G}}(\chi)$  for any  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi})$ . In particular, in this case,  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  by Lemma 3.5.

*Proof.* Write  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_u = [U_{1,d} : U_{2,d}]^{-1/2} \operatorname{Ind}_{U_{d,2}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\varphi_u)$ . By Lemma 4.1, there is some  $x \in C_{\widetilde{G}}(u)$  with determinant  $\delta^k$ , where  $\delta$  is a primitive  $(q-\epsilon)_2$ -root of unity in  $T_1$ . In particular,  $|\det(x)| = (q-\epsilon)_2$  since k is odd, and  $\varphi_u^x = \varphi_u$  since x normalizes  $U_{d,2}$  as in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Then applying Lemma 5.3 with  $\sigma$  trivial yields that some conjugate  $\chi_0$  of  $\chi$  satisfies  $\chi_0^x = \chi_0$ . This implies [I : G] is divisible by  $(q-\epsilon)_2$ , so that  $[\widetilde{G}:I]$  must be odd.

For the remainder of this section, we will consider the case  $\epsilon = -1$ , so that  $\widetilde{G} = GU_n(q)$  and  $G = SU_n(q)$ . In particular, Remark 4.4 and Proposition 6.4 yield that when  $[\widetilde{G}: I]$  is even and *n* is divisible by 4, then neither condition in Corollary 4.3 holds. Further, if  $[\widetilde{G}: I]$  is even and  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , then Corollary 4.3(1) holds.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let  $\epsilon = -1$  and suppose that  $q \equiv \eta \mod 4$  is nonsquare with  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  and that  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ . Then the converse of Lemma 3.5 holds. That is, for  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda}), \mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{sgn} \lambda \neq \lambda$ . Alternatively,  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda}(\sqrt{\eta p}) = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{sgn} \lambda = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* We must show that if  $\operatorname{sgn} \lambda = \lambda$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \neq \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ . First, recall that this condition on  $\lambda$  is equivalent to the condition that  $[\widetilde{G} : I] = [T_1 : \mathcal{I}(\lambda)]$  is even, by Lemma 3.4. Since  $n_2 = 2$ , Proposition 2.5 yields that  $[\widetilde{G} : I]_2 = 2$ . This means that no  $\widetilde{G}$ -conjugate of  $\chi$  can be fixed by any  $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}$  whose determinant satisfies  $|\det(\widetilde{g})|_2 = (q+1)_2$ .

Abusing notation, we let  $\tau$  also denote the unique element of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{F}_{\lambda})$  that restricts to our fixed generator  $\tau$  of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ . In the notation of Lemma 5.3, we have  $\varphi_u^x = \varphi_u^b = \tau \varphi_u$  for some  $x \in P_d$  satisfying  $|\det(x)| = (q+1)_2$ , by Corollary 4.3 and Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. Then by Lemma 5.3, there is a conjugate  $\chi_0$  of  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_0^x = \tau \chi_0$ . In particular, note that the condition on the determinant yields that  $\chi_0^x \neq \chi_0$ , so  $\tau \chi_0 \neq \chi_0$ . Since  $\chi$  and  $\chi_0$  have the same field of values, we see  $\tau \chi \neq \chi$ , and we have  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \neq \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** Let  $\epsilon = -1$  and suppose that  $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$  and  $4 \le n_2 \le (q+1)_2$ , and let  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda})$  and  $I := \operatorname{stab}_{\widetilde{G}}(\chi)$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  if and only if  $[\widetilde{G}: I]_2 < n_2$ .

*Proof.* First, note that  $[\widetilde{G} : I]_2 \le n_2$  by Proposition 2.5. Note that by Lemma 3.5, we may assume that  $[\widetilde{G} : I]$  is even and therefore that  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|\widetilde{\Gamma}_u)$  where *u* has no odd-power elementary divisor, by Proposition 6.4. By Lemmas 4.5, 5.1, and 5.2, there is some  $x \in \widetilde{G}$  such that  $\varphi_u^x = \varphi_u^b = \tau \varphi_u$  and  $|\det(x)| = 2(q+1)_2/n_2$ , which is divisible by 2 since  $n_2 \le (q+1)_2$ . By Lemma 5.3, there is a conjugate  $\chi_0$  of  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_0^x = \tau \chi_0$ .

Suppose first that  $[\widetilde{G}: I]_2 = n_2$ , so that x cannot stabilize  $\chi_0$ , since  $[I:G]_2 = (q+1)_2/n_2$  (and the same is true for the stabilizer of  $\chi_0$ ). This yields that  $\chi_0 \neq \tau \chi_0$ , so the same holds for  $\chi$ . Hence if  $[\widetilde{G}:I]_2 = n_2$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \neq \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ .

Now suppose  $[\tilde{G}: I]_2 < n_2$ . That is,  $[\tilde{G}: I]_2 \le n_2/2$ . Then the stabilizer of  $\chi_0$  must contain *x*, since  $I/G \cong \mathcal{I}(\lambda)$  is cyclic and contains the unique subgroup of  $\tilde{G}/G$  of size  $2(q+1)_2/n_2$ . Then  $\chi_0 = \tau \chi_0$ , and the same is true for  $\chi$ , so  $\mathbb{F}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* For  $\epsilon = 1$ , this is [Turull 2001, Theorem 4.8], so we assume  $\epsilon = -1$ . When *q* is odd, note the case  $[\tilde{G}: I]_2 = n_2$ , for any *n* even, is equivalent to having  $\alpha \lambda = \lambda$  for any  $\alpha \in \hat{T}_1$  of order  $n_2$ . In the case  $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , we remark that this  $\alpha$  is sgn. Hence Propositions 3.3, 6.5, and 6.6 combine to yield the statement.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Gunter Malle and the anonymous referees for very helpful comments and corrections to this paper.

The authors were each supported in part by grants from the Simons Foundation (Awards #351233 and #280496, respectively). Schaeffer Fry was also supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (Award No. DMS-1801156).

Part of this work was completed while Schaeffer Fry was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California during the Spring 2018 semester program on Group Representation Theory and Applications, supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140. She thanks the institute and the organizers of the program for making her stay possible and providing a collaborative and productive work environment.

#### References

- [Digne and Michel 1987] F. Digne and J. Michel, "Foncteurs de Lusztig et caractères des groupes linéaires et unitaires sur un corps fini", *J. Algebra* **107**:1 (1987), 217–255. MR Zbl
- [Digne and Michel 1991] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **21**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991. MR Zbl
- [Geck 2004] M. Geck, "On the Schur indices of cuspidal unipotent characters", pp. 87–104 in *Finite groups* (Gainesville, FL, 2003), edited by C. Y. Ho et al., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. MR Zbl
- [Green 1955] J. A. Green, "The characters of the finite general linear groups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955), 402–447. MR Zbl
- [Karkar and Green 1975] M. T. Karkar and J. A. Green, "A theorem on the restriction of group characters, and its application to the character theory of SL(n, q)", *Math. Ann.* **215** (1975), 131–134. MR Zbl
- [Kawanaka 1985] N. Kawanaka, "Generalized Gelfand–Graev representations and Ennola duality", pp. 175–206 in *Algebraic groups and related topics* (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983), edited by R. Hotta, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **6**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. MR Zbl
- [Kawanaka 1986] N. Kawanaka, "Generalized Gelfand–Graev representations of exceptional simple algebraic groups over a finite field, I", *Invent. Math.* **84**:3 (1986), 575–616. MR Zbl
- [Lehrer 1973] G. I. Lehrer, "The characters of the finite special linear groups", *J. Algebra* **26** (1973), 564–583. MR Zbl
- [Lusztig and Srinivasan 1977] G. Lusztig and B. Srinivasan, "The characters of the finite unitary groups", J. Algebra **49**:1 (1977), 167–171. MR Zbl
- [Macdonald 1995] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1995. MR Zbl
- [Schaeffer Fry and Taylor 2018] A. A. Schaeffer Fry and J. Taylor, "On self-normalising Sylow 2-subgroups in type A", *J. Lie Theory* **28**:1 (2018), 139–168. MR Zbl
- [Schaeffer Fry and Vinroot 2016] A. A. Schaeffer Fry and C. R. Vinroot, "Real classes of finite special unitary groups", *J. Group Theory* **19**:5 (2016), 735–762. MR Zbl
- [Taylor 2016] J. Taylor, "Generalized Gelfand–Graev representations in small characteristics", Nagoya Math. J. 224:1 (2016), 93–167. MR Zbl
- [Thiem and Vinroot 2007] N. Thiem and C. R. Vinroot, "On the characteristic map of finite unitary groups", *Adv. Math.* **210**:2 (2007), 707–732. MR Zbl
- [Tiep and Zalesskii 2004] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, "Unipotent elements of finite groups of Lie type and realization fields of their complex representations", *J. Algebra* **271**:1 (2004), 327–390. MR Zbl

[Turull 2001] A. Turull, "The Schur indices of the irreducible characters of the special linear groups", *J. Algebra* **235**:1 (2001), 275–314. MR Zbl

Received March 8, 2018. Revised September 13, 2018.

A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER SCIENCES METROPOLITAN STATE UNIVERSITY OF DENVER DENVER, CO UNITED STATES

aschaef6@msudenver.edu

C. RYAN VINROOT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY WILLIAMSBURG, VA UNITED STATES

vinroot@math.wm.edu

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

#### msp.org/pjm

#### EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan Mathematics Department National University of Singapore Singapore 119076 matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

#### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Matthias Aschenbrenner

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080

cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu

Department of Mathematics

The University of Hong Kong

Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong

jhlu@maths.hku.hk

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2019 is US \$490/year for the electronic version, and \$665/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# **PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS**

Volume 300 No. 2 June 2019

Hochschild coniveau spectral sequence and the Beilinson residue OLIVER BRAUNLING and JESSE WOLFSON	257
The graph Laplacian and Morse inequalities IVAN CONTRERAS and BOYAN XU	331
Counting using Hall algebras III: Quivers with potentials JIARUI FEI	347
J-invariant of hermitian forms over quadratic extensions RAPHAËL FINO	375
On the determinants and permanents of matrices with restricted entries over prime fields DOOWON KOH, THANG PHAM, CHUN-YEN SHEN and LE ANH	405
VINH	
Symmetry and monotonicity of positive solutions for an integral system with negative exponents	419
Zhao Liu	
On the Braverman–Kazhdan proposal for local factors: spherical case ZHILIN LUO	431
Fields of character values for finite special unitary groups A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY and C. RYAN VINROOT	473
Sharp quantization for Lane–Emden problems in dimension two PIERRE-DAMIEN THIZY	491
Towards a sharp converse of Wall's theorem on arithmetic progressions JOSEPH VANDEHEY	499