

## Part 4 Numerical ranges and quantum computing

The **numerical range** and the **numerical radius** of  $A \in M_n$  are defined as

$$W(A) = \{x^*Ax : x \in \mathbf{C}^n, x^*x = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad r(A) = \max\{|\mu| : \mu \in W(A)\}.$$

These concepts are useful in the study of matrices. There are many generalizations motivated by applications. We discussed some basic properties and selected generalizations useful in quantum computing.

### 1 The classical numerical range

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $A \in M_n$ .*

1.  $W(U^*AU) = W(A)$  for any unitary  $U \in M_n$ .
2.  $W(A + cI) = W(A) + c$  for any  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ .
3.  $W(cA) = cW(A)$  for any  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ .
4.  $\sigma(A) \subseteq W(A)$ .
5.  $W(A + B) \subseteq W(A) + W(B)$  for any  $B \in M_n$ .
6.  $W(A \oplus B) = \mathbf{conv}[W(A) \cup W(B)]$  for any  $B \in M_m$ .
7.  $W(A) = \mathbf{conv}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  if  $A$  is normal with eigenvalues  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *For any  $A \in M_n$ ,  $W(A)$  is a compact convex set in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $A \in M_2$  then  $W(A)$  is an elliptical disk with the eigenvalues  $a_1, a_2$  of  $A$  as foci and  $\gamma = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A^*A) - |a_1|^2 - |a_2|^2}$  as minor axis.*

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $A \in M_n$ .*

1.  $W(A) = \{\mu\}$  if and only if  $A = \mu I$ .
2.  $W(A) \subseteq a\mathbf{R} + b$  if and only if  $A = aH + bI$  with  $H = H^*$ .
3.  $A$  is unitary if and only if  $A$  is invertible such that both  $W(A)$  and  $W(A^{-1})$  are subsets of the closed unit disks.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $A \in M_n$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}(W(A)) = W((A + A^*)/2)$ . Consequently,*

$$W(A) = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : e^{it}\mu + e^{-it}\bar{\mu} \leq \lambda_1(e^{it}A + e^{-it}A^*), t \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.5** Let  $A \in M_3$  be a unitarily reducible matrix or  $A \in M_2$ . Then  $B \in M_n$  satisfies  $W(B) \subseteq W(A)$  if and only if  $B = X^*(A \otimes I_m)X$  for some matrix  $X$  of appropriate size such that  $X^*X = I_n$ .

**Theorem 1.6** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be square matrices. Define the function  $\Phi$  from  $\text{span}\{I, A, A^*\}$  to  $\text{span}\{I, B, B^*\}$  by  $\Phi(aI + bA + cA^*) = aI + bB + cB^*$ .

(a) Then  $W(B) \subseteq W(A)$  if and only if  $\Phi$  is a positive linear map.

(b) The matrix  $B$  is a compression of  $A \otimes I$  if and only if  $\Phi$  is a completely positive linear map.

**Theorem 1.7** Let  $A \in M_n$ . Then

$$\rho(A) \leq r(A) \leq \|A\| \leq 2r(A)$$

and

$$r(A^k) \leq r(A)^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

(a) The equality  $\rho(A) = r(A)$  holds if and only if  $A$  is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form  $[\mu] \oplus A_2$  such that  $|\mu| = r(A)$ .

(b) The equality  $\rho(A) = \|A\|$  holds if and only if  $r(A) = \|A\|$ . This happens if and only if  $A$  is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form  $[\mu] \oplus A_2$  such that  $|\mu| = \|A\|$ .

(c) The equality  $\|A\| = 2r(A)$  holds if and only if  $A/r(A)$  is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus A_2$  with  $r(A_2) \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.8** Let  $A, B \in M_n$ . Then

$$r(AB) \leq 4r(A)r(B).$$

If  $AB = BA$ , then

$$r(AB) \leq 2r(A)r(B).$$

**Problem 1.9** Determine the best (smallest) constant  $\gamma$  such that  $r(AB) \leq \gamma r(A)\|B\|$  for  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $AB = BA$ .

**Problem 1.10** Determine the best (smallest) constant  $\gamma$  such that

$$\|p(A)\| \leq \gamma \max\{|p(\mu)| : \mu \in W(A)\}$$

for any complex polynomial  $p(z)$ .

## 2 The higher rank numerical range

In connection to quantum error correction, see the appendix, researchers consider the **rank  $k$ -numerical range** of  $A \in M_n$  defined by

$$\Lambda_k(A) = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : \text{there is } P \in \mathcal{P}_k \text{ such that } PAP = \mu P\},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is the set of rank  $k$ -orthogonal projections in  $M_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $A \in M_n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .*

1. *For any  $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\Lambda_k(aA + bI) = a\Lambda_k(A) + b$ .*
2. *For any unitary  $U \in M_n$ ,  $\Lambda_k(U^*AU) = \Lambda_k(A)$ .*
3. *If  $B \in M_r$  is a compression of  $A$  with  $r \geq k$ , then  $\Lambda_k(B) \subseteq \Lambda_k(A)$ .*
4. *Suppose  $n < 2k$ . The set  $\Lambda_k(A)$  has at most one element.*

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $w = e^{i2\pi/3}$  and*

$$B = I_{k-1} \oplus wI_{k-1} \oplus w^2I_{k-1}.$$

*If  $n \leq 3k - 3$ , then for any  $(3k - 3) \times n$  matrix  $X$  satisfying  $X^*X = I_n$ ,  $\Lambda_k(X^*BX) = \emptyset$ .*

*If  $n \geq 3k - 2$  then  $\Lambda_k(A)$  is non-empty for any  $A \in M_n$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $A \in M_n$ . Then  $\Lambda_k(A) = \Omega_k(A)$ , where*

$$\Omega_k(A) = \bigcap_{\xi \in [0, 2\pi)} \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : e^{i\xi}\mu + e^{-i\xi}\bar{\mu} \leq \lambda_k(e^{i\xi}A + e^{-i\xi}A^*)\}.$$

*In particular, if  $A \in M_n$  is a normal matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then*

$$\Lambda_k(A) = \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-k+1} \leq n} \mathbf{conv} \{\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{n-k+1}}\}.$$

**Corollary 2.4** *For any  $A \in M_n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\Lambda_k(A)$  is convex.*

### 3 The joint higher rank numerical range

**Definition 3.1** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in M_n$ . The joint rank- $k$  numerical range of  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is defined by

$$\Lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_m) : \text{there is } P \in \mathcal{P}_k \text{ such that } PA_jP = a_jP, j = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is the set of rank  $k$  orthogonal projections in  $M_n$ .

**Remark 3.2** If  $A_j = H_j + iG_j$  with  $H_j = H_j^*$  and  $G_j = G_j^*$ , then  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{1 \times m}$  can be identified as  $\Lambda_k(H_1, G_1, \dots, H_m, G_m) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{1 \times 2m}$ . So, we may focus on the joint rank  $k$ -numerical range of Hermitian matrices.

**Proposition 3.3** Suppose  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$ . Let  $T = (t_{ij}) \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$  and  $(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  be a real vectors. If  $B_j = c_jI + \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij}A_i$ , then

$$\Lambda_k(B_1, \dots, B_m) = \{(c_1, \dots, c_m) + (a_1, \dots, a_m)T : (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$ . Then  $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is convex if

- (a)  $\text{span}\{I, A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  has dimension at most 3, or
- (b)  $n \geq 3$  and  $\text{span}\{I, A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  has dimension at most 4.

**Example 3.5** Let

$$B_1 = I_2 \oplus 0_{n-2}, \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}, \quad B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}, \quad B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}.$$

Then  $W(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4)$  is not convex.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in H_n$  be such that  $\text{span}\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  has dimension 4. Then there is  $A_4$  such that  $W(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$  is not convex.

There are many problems on  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  under active research.

**Problem 3.7** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$ . For  $k > 1$  the set  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  may be empty. Determine the minimum  $n$  (in terms of  $m$  and  $k$ ) so that  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is always non-empty for  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$ .

**Theorem 3.8** For  $m, k \geq 1$ , let

$$n(m, k) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot 3^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(k-1) + 1 & \text{when } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 3^{\frac{m}{2}}(k-1) + 1 & \text{when } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is non-empty for all  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .

**Example 3.9** For  $m, k > 1$ , let  $n = (m+1)(k-1)$ . Suppose  $A_1 = I_{k-1} \oplus 0_{k-1} \oplus -I_{(m-1)(k-1)}$  and

$$A_j = I_{j(k-1)} \oplus 0_{(m+1-j)(k-1)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, m.$$

Then  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m) = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 3.10** Suppose  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$  are diagonal matrices. If  $n > m + 1$ , then  $\Lambda_2(A_1, \dots, A_m) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Problem 3.11** Can we extend the above result to general Hermitian matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_m$ ?

**Theorem 3.12** Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in H_n^m$ . If  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \Lambda_{\hat{k}}(\mathbf{A})$ , where  $\hat{k} \geq (m+2)k$  if  $k > 1$  and  $\hat{k} \geq (m+1)/2$  if  $k = 1$ . Then  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is star-shaped with  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  as a star-center. Consequently,  $\text{conv } \Lambda_{\hat{k}}(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is a compact convex subset of  $\Lambda_k(\mathbf{A})$ .

**Problem 3.13** 1. Determine the minimum  $n$  such that  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is star-shaped for any  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$ .

2. Determine the condition on  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in H_n$  so that  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  is convex.

3. Determine a “large” convex subset of  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ .

## 4 The $C$ -numerical range and quantum control

**Definition 4.1** Let  $C \in M_n$ . The  $C$ -numerical range and the  $C$ -numerical radius of  $A \in M_n$  are defined by

$$W_C(A) = \{\text{tr}(CU^*AU) : U \text{ is unitary}\}$$

and

$$r_C(A) = \max\{|\mu| : \mu \in W_C(A)\}.$$

Note that the  $C$ -numerical radii are the building blocks for USI norms on  $M_n$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Suppose  $C = aI + bR$  where  $R$  is Hermitian or rank one. Then  $W_C(A)$  is convex for any  $A \in M_n$ .

**Definition 4.3** A matrix  $C$  is a **block shift operator** if it is unitarily similar to a block matrix  $(C_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  such that  $C_{11}, \dots, C_{mm}$  are square matrices, and  $C_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j + 1$ .

**Theorem 4.4** Suppose  $C = aI + R$  where  $R$  is a block shift operator. Then  $W_C(A)$  is a circular disk for any  $A \in M_n$ .

**Problem 4.5** Characterize matrices  $C \in M_n$  such that  $W_C(A)$  is convex for all  $A \in M_n$ .

**Definition 4.6** Let  $C \in M_n$  have eigenvalues  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ . Define the  $C$ -spectral radius and  $C$ -spectral norm of  $A \in M_n$  by

$$\rho_C(A) = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i_j} \lambda_j(A) \right| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{ is a permutation of } (1, \dots, n) \right\},$$

and

$$\|A\|_C = \max\{\text{tr}(CUAV) : U, V \text{ are unitary}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.7** Let  $C \in M_n$  have singular values  $c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ . Then

$$\|A\|_C = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j s_j(A).$$

Note that the  $C$ -spectral norms are the building blocks of UI norms on  $M_n$ .

In quantum control, it is important to determine

$$\min\{\|C - U^*BU\| : U \text{ is unitary}\}$$

for two given (nilpotent) matrices  $C$  and  $A$  arising from some quantum mechanical systems.

Note that

$$\|C - U^*BU\|^2 = \|C\|^2 + \|A\|^2 - 2\text{Re}(\text{tr}(CU^*B^*U)).$$

So, the problem reduces to finding

$$r_C(B^*) = \max\{\text{Re}(\text{tr}(CU^*B^*U)) : U \text{ is unitary}\}.$$

**Problem 4.8** Determine  $r_{C_k}(A_k)$  for

$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2^k} & 0_{2^k} \\ I_{2^k} & 0_{2^k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_k = N_k \oplus N_k,$$

where

$$N_0 = (0), \quad N_k = \begin{pmatrix} N_{k-1} & 0 \\ I_{2^{k-1}} & N_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here are some conjectured values:

$k$ :	3	4	5	6
$r_{C_k}(A_k)$ :	$4(1 + \sqrt{3})$	$8(1 + \sqrt{3})$	$16(1 + \sqrt{3}) + 4\sqrt{5}$	$32(1 + \sqrt{3}) + 8\sqrt{5}$

Recently, researchers study the local  $C$ -numerical range and  $C$ -numerical radius with respect to a certain subgroup  $\mathcal{S}$  of the unitary group defined by

$$W_{\mathcal{S}(C)}(A) = \{\operatorname{tr}(CU^*AU) : U \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

and

$$r_{\mathcal{S}(C)}(A) = \{|\mu| : \mu \in W_{\mathcal{S}(C)}(A)\}.$$

## 5 Exercises

1. Suppose  $\mu \in \sigma(A)$  is a boundary point of  $W(A)$ . Show that  $A$  is unitarily similar to  $[\mu] \oplus A_2$ .
2. Show that if  $\mu \in W(A)$  satisfies  $|\mu| = \|A\|$ , then  $A$  is unitarily similar to  $[\mu] \oplus A_2$ .
3. Show that if  $A \in M_n$  and  $W(A)$  is a convex polygon (with interior) with  $n - 1$  vertices, then  $A$  is normal. For each  $n \geq 5$ , show that there is a non-normal matrix  $B$  such that  $W(B)$  is a convex polygon with  $n - 2$  vertices.

4. Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$W(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \{(a, b, c) : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}.$$

5. Give a complete description of  $\Lambda_2(A)$  for a normal matrix  $A \in M_4$  in terms of its eigenvalues.
6. Show that if  $A \in M_n$  has rank less than  $k$ , then  $\Lambda_k(A) = \{0\}$ .
7. Suppose  $n \geq 2k$ . There is  $A \in M_n$  such that  $\Lambda_k(A)$  is the unit circular disk.
8. Suppose  $n \geq 2k + m$ . There is  $A \in M_n$  such that  $\Lambda_k(A)$  is a regular  $m$ -side polygons.
9. If  $W_C(A)$  is always a circular disk centered at the origin, show that  $C$  is a block shift operator.  
If  $W_C(A)$  is always a circular disk, can we conclude that  $C = aI + R$  for a block shift operator  $R$ ?

## Appendix: Background of quantum error correction

In classical computing, information is stored as binary sequences. A length  $k$  sequence is encoded as a length  $n$  sequence, and then transmitted through a noisy channel. The received sequence can be correctly decoded provided there are fewer than  $r(n, k)$  error.

In quantum computing, information is stored in **quantum bits (qubits)**. Mathematically, a qubit is represented by a  $2 \times 2$  rank one projection  $Q = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+z & x+iy \\ x-iy & 1-z \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ . A state of  $K$ -qubits  $Q_1, \dots, Q_K$  is represented by their tensor products in  $M_n$  with  $k = 2^K$ . Again, a state of  $K$ -qubits is encoded as a state of  $N$ -qubits, and transmitted through a **quantum channel**, where a quantum channel for states of  $N$ -qubits is a **trace preserving completely positive linear map**  $\Phi : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  with  $n = 2^N$ . By the result of Choi, there are  $T_1, \dots, T_m \in M_n$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^m T_j^* T_j = I_n$  such that

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m T_j X T_j^*. \quad (1)$$

In this setting an quantum error correction code is a subspace  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that the compression of  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}$  is the identity map. By the result of Knill-Laflamme, this happens if and only if there are scalars  $\gamma_{ij}$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$  such that

$$P T_i^* T_j P = \gamma_{ij} P, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m,$$

where  $P \in M_n$  is an orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  onto  $\mathbf{V}$ .

In connection to this, researchers study the joint rank- $k$  numerical range of  $(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  to be the set  $\Lambda_k(A_1, \dots, A_m)$  of complex vectors  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times 1}$  for the existence of an rank- $k$  orthogonal projection  $P \in M_n$  such that  $P A_j P = a_j P$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .