Part 1 Diagonal Entries, Eigenvalues & Singular Values

Recall that the singular values $s_1(A) \ge \cdots \ge s_n(A)$ of $A \in M_n$ are the nonnegative square roots of the eigenvalues of A^*A . Finding bounds and estimates of eigenvalues, singular values, and diagonal entries of matrices are important in many applications. We will study some basic results and techniques. Here are some facts about eigenvalues and singular values.

- Let $A \in M_n$. There are unitary $U, V \in M_n$ such that $UAV = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \ldots, s_n(A))$.
- Let $A \in M_n$. There is a unitary U such that U^*AU is in (upper or lower) triangular form with the eigenvalues of A arranged in any specific order on the diagonal.
- A matrix $A \in M_n$ is normal (Hermitian) if and only if A is unitarily similar to a (real) diagonal matrix.

1 Real symmetric and complex Hermitian Matrices

Theorem 1.1 (Courant-Fischer-Weyl) Let $A \in H_n$ have eigenvalues $a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_n$. Then for $1 \le k \le n$,

$$a_{k} = \max_{\dim W=k} \min_{v \in W, v^{*}v=1} v^{*}Av = \min_{\dim W=n-k+1} \max_{v \in W, v^{*}v=1} v^{*}Av.$$

When k = 1, we have the following corollary, which is known as the Rayleigh principle.

Corollary 1.2 (Rayleigh Principle) Let $A \in H_n$. For any unit vector $x \in \mathbf{F}^n$, we have

$$\lambda_1(A) \ge x^* A x \ge \lambda_n(A).$$

Corollary 1.3 (Cauchy's Interlacing Inequalities) Let $A \in H_n$ have eigenvalues $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$. Suppose B is a $m \times m$ principal submatrix of A with eigenvalues $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_m$. Then

$$a_j \ge b_j \ge a_{n-m+j}$$
 for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 1.4 (Fan-Pall) Suppose $a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_n$ and $b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_m$ with $1 \le m \le n$ satisfy

$$a_j \ge b_j \ge a_{n-m+j}$$
 for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Then there exists $A \in H_n$ with eigenvalues a_1, \ldots, a_n such that the leading $m \times m$ principal submatrix of A has eigenvalues $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_m$.

Problem 1.5 Let $1 \leq m < n$. Determine the conditions on $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m \in \mathbb{C}$ for the existence of a normal matrix with eigenvalues a_1, \ldots, a_n and a leading principal normal submatrix with eigenvalues b_1, \ldots, b_m .

Definition 1.6 Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ be real vectors. We say that b is weakly majorized by a, denoted by $b \prec_w a$, if the sum of the k largest entries of b is not larger than the sum of the k largest entries of a for $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. In addition, if the sum of the entries of the two vectors are the same, we say that b is majorized by a, denoted by $b \prec a$.

Theorem 1.7 (Schur-Horn) There exists $A \in H_n$ with eigenvalues $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and diagonal entries d_1, \ldots, d_n if and only if

$$(d_1,\ldots,d_n)\prec (a_1,\ldots,a_n).$$

Problem 1.8 Determine the relation between the eigenvalues and diagonal entries of a normal matrix.

2 General matrices

Theorem 2.1 Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

- There exists $T \in M_n$ with entries of z as eigenvalues and entries of x as eigenvalues of $(T + T^*)/2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(z) \prec x$.
- There exists $T \in M_n$ with entries of z as eigenvalues and entries of y as eigenvalues of $(T T^*)/(2i)$ if and only if $\text{Im}(z) \prec y$.

Problem 2.2 Given $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for $T \in M_n$ with entries of z as eigenvalues, entries of x as eigenvalues of $(T + T^*)/2$ and entries of y as eigenvalues of $(T - T^*)/(2i)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Weyl-Horn) There exists $A \in M_n$ with singular values $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$ and eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ with $|\lambda_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|$ if and only if $\prod_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| = \prod_{j=1}^n s_j$ and

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} |\lambda_j| \le \prod_{j=1}^{k} s_j, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Theorem 2.4 (Thompson-Sing) There exists $A \in M_n$ with singular values $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$ and diagonal entries d_1, \ldots, d_n with $|d_1| \geq \cdots \geq |d_n|$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |d_j| - |d_n| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j - s_n$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} |d_j| \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

Theorem 2.5 (Thompson) There exists a complex symmetric matrix $A \in M_n$ with singular values $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$ and diagonal entries $d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_n$ if and only if

(a)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

(b) $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} d_j - \sum_{j=k}^{n} d_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j - 2s_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ and}$
(c) $\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} d_j - d_{n-2} - d_{n-1} - d_n \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} s_j - s_{n-1} - s_n \text{ in case } n \geq 3.$

Problem 2.6 The proof of Thompson is very long. It would be nice to find a short proof.

3 Compound matrices

Definition 3.1 If A is $m \times n$ and $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$, then the kth compound matrix of A is the $\binom{m}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$ matrix $C_k(A)$ with entries equal to det $A[i_1, \ldots, i_k; j_1, \ldots, j_k]$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n$, arranged in lexicographic order; the kth additive compound matrix of A is the $\binom{m}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$ matrix $\Delta_k(A)$ appeared as the coefficient matrix of t in the expansion

$$C_k(I + tA) = I + t\Delta_k(A) + \dots + t^k C_k(A).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $A \in M_n$ have eigenvalues a_1, \ldots, a_n , and singular values s_1, \ldots, s_n . Then $C_k(A)$ has eigenvalues $a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_k}$ and singular values $s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$ with $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k$. Moreover, $\Delta_k(A)$ has eigenvalues $a_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_k}$ with $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k$.

4 Bounds for zeros of polynomials

Definition 4.1 Suppose A is the companion matrix of the monic polynomial $p(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$, i.e., the (1, j) entry of A is $-a_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$; the (j, j - 1) entry of A is 1 for $j = 2, \ldots, n$; all other entries of A are zero.

Theorem 4.2 (Gershgorin) Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n$. Let $R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ be the deleted row sum, and let $G_i = \{\mu \in \mathbf{C} : |\mu - a_{ii}| \leq R_i\}$ be a Gershgorin disk. Then $\sigma(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_j$.

Theorem 4.3 Suppose $p(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$, and μ is a zero of μ . Then

$$|\mu| \le \max\{1 + |a_j| : 1 \le j \le n\}$$
 and $|\mu| \le \max\left\{1, \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|\right\}.$

If $a_n \neq 0$, one can estimate the lower bound for $|\mu|$ by studying the companion matrix of $q(z) = z^n p(1/z)/a_n = z^n + (a_{n-1}/a_n)z^{n-1} + \cdots + 1/a_n$.

Moreover, if A is the companion matrix of p(z), then there are unitary matrices U and V such that $UAV = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}$ with $\gamma = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^2}$, and hence $|\mu| \le s_1(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{\gamma^2 + (1+|a_n|)^2} + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + (1-|a_n|)^2} \right\}.$

If A is singular, $|\mu| \leq \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1}$. If A is invertible, one can get the lower bound $|\mu| \geq s_n(A)$.

Problem 4.4 (Sendov Conjecture) Suppose A is a complex circulant matrix with all eigenvalues in the closed unit disk $\{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 1\}$. If λ is an eigenvalue of A and B is obtained from $A - \lambda I$ by removing its last row and last column. Show that B has an eigenvalue in the closed unit disk.

Remark 4.5 Note that if A' is obtained from A by removing its first row and first column, then the eigenvalues of A' are the zeros of p'(z) for $p(z) = \det(zI - A)$.

5 Functions preserving majorization

To obtain more matrix inequalities from the basic ones one can use Schur convex functions.

Definition 5.1 A nonnegative matrix $A \in M_n$ is **doubly stochastic** if all row sums and column sums equal 1. If A is doubly stochastic and has two nonzero off-diagonal entries, then A is a **pinching** matrix.

Proposition 5.2 Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$. The following are equivalent.

- (a) $x \prec y$.
- (b) There exist pinching matrices T_1, \ldots, T_m with m < n such that $x = yT_1 \cdots T_m$.
- (c) There exists a doubly stochastic matrix A such that x = yA.

Proposition 5.3 Let $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{1 \times n}$.

(a) If f is convex and $x \prec y$, then

$$(f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_n))\prec_w (f(y_1),\ldots,f(y_n)).$$

(b) If f is convex increasing and $x \prec_w y$, then

$$(f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_n))\prec_w (f(y_1),\ldots,f(y_n)).$$

Definition 5.4 Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ have nonnegative entries. If the product of the k largest entries of x is not larger than that of y for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we say that x is **weakly log majorized** by y, denoted by $x \prec_{wlog} y$. In addition, if the product of the entries of the two vectors are the same, then we say that x is **log majorized** by y, denoted by $x \prec_{log} y$.

Proposition 5.5 If $x \prec_{wlog} y$ then $x \prec_{w} y$.

Definition 5.6 A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is Schur-convex if $f(u) \leq f(v)$ whenever $u \prec v$.

Example 5.7 (a) If $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is convex, then for any $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max\{f(x_{j_1}) + \dots + f(x_{j_k}) : 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_k \le n\}$$

is Schur convex. In addition, if g is increasing, then $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ whenever $x \prec_w y$.

- (b) If $g : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ is convex, then $\phi(x) = \max\{g(Px) : P \text{ is a permutation matrix}\}$, is Schur-convex. In addition, if g is increasing, then $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ whenever $x \prec_w y$.
- (c) The variance function on real vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ defined by

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - \bar{x})^2 \quad with \quad \bar{x} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j\right) / n$$

is Schur-convex.

(d) The entropy function on nonnegative vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is defined by

$$H(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{j} x_j \log x_j,$$

where by convention $t \log t = 0$ if t = 0. Then -H(x) is Schur -convex, i.e., H(x) is Schur-concave,

Definition 5.8 For $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$, define the kth elementary symmetric function by

$$E_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_k \le n} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}.$$

Proposition 5.9 Let $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$, the functions $-E_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and

$$E_{k-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)/E_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

are Schur convex on positive vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

6 Inequalities on determinant and related functions

Theorem 6.1 Let f be a Schur-convex function on real vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. If $A \in H_n$ has diagonal entries d_1, \ldots, d_n and eigenvalues a_1, \ldots, a_n , then

$$f(d_1,\ldots,d_n) \le f(a_1,\ldots,a_n).$$

Theorem 6.2 Let $A \in H_n$ have diagonal entries d_1, \ldots, d_n and eigenvalues $a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0$. Then

$$\frac{E_n(d_1,\ldots,d_n)}{E_n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)} \ge \frac{E_{n-1}(d_1,\ldots,d_n)}{E_{n-1}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)} \ge \cdots \ge \frac{E_1(d_1,\ldots,d_n)}{E_1(a_1,\ldots,a_n)} = 1$$

Corollary 6.3 Suppose $A \in M_n$. Then $|\det(A)| \leq \prod_{j=1} ||A_i||$, where A_i is the *i*th column of A.

Theorem 6.4 If $A \in H_n$ is positive semi-definite, then

$$(\det A)^{1/n} = \inf\{\operatorname{tr}(AB)/n : B \text{ is positive definite with } \det(B) = 1\}.$$

If A is invertible, then the infimum is attainable.

Corollary 6.5 (Minkowski) Let $A, B \in H_n$ be positive. Then

$$(\det(A+B))^{1/n} \ge (\det A)^{1/n} + (\det B)^{1/n}.$$

7 Exercises

- 1. Please make suggestions and comments to the lecture.
- 2. Provide the missing details of the proofs of various results such as Example 5.7, Proposition 5.9, and results in Section 6, etc.
- 3. Prove that if $A \in M_n$ is complex symmetric, there is unitary U such that $U^tAU = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \ldots, s_n(A))$. Hint: Choose unit vector u so that $\text{Re}(u^tAu)$ is largest possible. Show that $U^tAU = [s_1] \oplus A_2$ if U has u as the first column. Induct on A_2 .
- 4. Let $A \in H_n$. Show that a unit vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $v^*Av = \lambda_j(A)$ for j = 1 or n if and only if v is an eigenvector of $\lambda_j(A)$.
- 5. Let $A, B \in H_n$. Use Theorem 1.1 to show that

$$\lambda_{i+j-1}(A+B) \le \lambda_i(A) + \lambda_j(B), \qquad i+j-1 \le n.$$

Formulate and prove a lower bound on $\lambda_{i+j-1}(A+B)$.